

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR BOX 191 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,859	06/21/2001	Hoang Tan Tran	41676/JMC/B600	6112	
	1590 01/29/2007 NERS & DEMPSEY L.L.I		EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			YANCHUS III, PAUL B		
8000 TOWERS TYSONS CORN			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2116		
	,	•			
•					
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2.405	ITHE	01/20/2007	DAT	DIED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/886,859	TRAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Yanchus	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	ntion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. The plant of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the communic	☐ This action is non-final.  r allowance except for formal matt		i
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		;	
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. In the priority documents have been the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
, actually	2. 2 hat at and admined adplies flot		
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	)-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859

Art Unit: 2116

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This final office action is in response to communications filed on 10/18/06.

For Applicant's convenience, a copy of the maintained claim rejections is provided below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11-17 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142.

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Bar-Niv teaches a method and apparatus for regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network comprising:

monitoring data [incoming pulses] received by the transceiver to detect the presence or absence of a received data signal [column 1, lines 57-67]; and

controlling a transceiver state machine [energy-on state machine] to regulate transceiver power consumption in response to the presence or absence of the data received [column 2, lines 32-49].

Art Unit: 2116

wherein the transceiver state machine includes a wake-up control and a power down control [energy-on state machine and power module together act as a wake-up control and a power down control], the wake-up control being configured to send power control signals [power module supplies power to transceiver circuitry] to a transmitter and the power down control being configured to send power control signals [power module supplies no power to transceiver circuitry] to all components of the transceiver [when a data signal is being received, the ENERGYON signal is at a first level and the power module supplies power to transceiver circuitry and when no data signal is being received, the ENERGYON signal is at a second level and the power module stops supplying power to the transceiver circuitry, column 2, lines 32-50 and column 6, lines 27-31].

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1] and upon detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time [256 ms], controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal [LINK\_ON] for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859

Art Unit: 2116

normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-19 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received includes comparing a received data signal [differential voltage, column 4, lines 25-46] from the communications network with a reference signal [300 mV, column 4, lines 25-46] and controlling the transceiver state machine when a magnitude of the received data signal exceeds the reference signal [column 2, lines 50-67].

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized operating power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal for a predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal for a second predetermined time subsequent to the predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142. in view of, Uppunda et al., US Patent no. 6,678,728.

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Bar-Niv, as described above, teaches a method and apparatus for regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network. Bar-Niv does not teach controlling the transceiver to transmit link determination signals to devices on the communications network when the transceiver is in a power-down mode. Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals [keep-alive packets, column 1, lines 25-29 and column 3, lines

Art Unit: 2116

40-42] to other devices on the network while in a powered down state [sleep state, column 1, lines 20-29 and column 3, lines 40-42].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Bar-Niv and Uppunda et al. Periodically transferring link signals from a first device that is in a sleep state to other devices on the network indicates to the other devices on the network that the first device is still connected to the network, even though it is idle [Uppunda et al., column 1, lines 20-29].

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al. further teaches exiting the sleep state only when wake up packets are received from other devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then remaining in sleep mode if no wake packets have been received from the network.

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

Regarding claims 9 and 21, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al. further teaches exiting the sleep state when wake up packets are received from other devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then exiting the sleep mode when wake packets have been received from the network.

Page 7

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1-24, Applicant argues that Bar-Niv does not disclose the limitation, "wherein the transceiver state machine includes a wake-up control and a power down control, the wake-up control being configured to send power control signals to a transmitter and the power down control being configured to send power control signals to all components of the

Art Unit: 2116

transceiver." The Examiner disagrees. Bar-Niv discloses an energy-on state machine and power module which together act as a wake-up control and a power down control for the transceiver. Specifically, the energy-on state machine instructs the power module to either supply power to the transceiver circuitry or to not supply power to the transceiver circuitry. The energy-on state machine and the power module together act as both a wake up control and a power down control for the transceiver circuitry. The transceiver circuitry would inherently include some type of transmitter. The examiner interprets the power supplied from the power module to the transceiver circuitry to be a power control signal [column 2, lines 32-50 and column 6, lines 21-31]. Therefore, Bar-Niv does disclose a wake-up control and a power down control, the wake-up control being configured to send power control signals to a transmitter and the power down control being configured to send power control signals to all components of the transceiver.

Applicant seems to argue that the claims require that the wake-up control and the power down control be two separate "elements" and, consequently, the Bar-Niv energy-on state machine and power module cannot satisfy that limitation because the energy-on state machine and power module combine the functions of both the wake-up control and the power down control. However, the claims do not recite the limitation of the wake-up control and power-down control being separate "elements." The claims merely recite "a wake-up control and a power down control." Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant further argues that the Examiner did not provide complete responses to all of the Applicant's arguments submitted 5/16/06 and 6/9/06. Specifically, Applicant seems to argue

that the Examiner did not respond to the argument that, in claims 6-10 and 18-22, Uppunda fails to disclose or suggest a transceiver state machine that includes at least one of a wake-up control and a power down control. The Examiner disagrees. The rejections and response to arguments clearly indicate that Bar-Niv, not Uppunda, was relied upon to disclose that limitation. The rejections and response to arguments also clearly indicate where and how Bar-Niv discloses a transceiver state machine that includes at least one of a wake-up control and a power down control. Therefore, the Examiner did provide complete responses to all of the Applicant's arguments submitted 5/16/06 and 6/9/06.

The rejections of claims 1-24 are respectfully maintained.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859 Page 10

Art Unit: 2116

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Yanchus January 21, 2007

A. ELAMIN PRIMARY EXAMINER